

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,662		06/14/2005	Atsushi Ogawa	83363.0012	6654
26021	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER	
HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.				YABUT, DIANE D	
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3734	
			•	DATE MAIL ED. 11/28/200	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,662	OGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Diane Yabut	3734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 July     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2005 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= : :	•				
,—						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		. (-1) (5)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/14/05; 7/21/05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

The foreign priority claim filed on 10 January 2003 was not entered because the 1. foreign priority claim was not filed during the time period set forth in 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1). For original applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) (other than a design application) on or after November 29, 2000, the time period is during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior foreign application. For applications that have entered national stage from an international application filed on or after November 29, 2000, after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371, the claim for priority must be made during the pendency of the application and within the time limit set forth in the PCT and the Regulations under the PCT. See 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1)(ii). If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), (f) or 365(a) based upon a prior foreign application, applicant must file a petition for an unintentionally delayed priority claim (37 CFR 1.55(c)). The petition must be accompanied by (1) the claim (i.e., the claim required by 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) and (f) and 37 CFR 1.55) for priority to the prior foreign application, unless previously submitted; (2) a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.17(t); and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional. The petition

Art Unit: 3734

should be addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450.

2. Should applicant desire to obtain the benefit of foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) prior to declaration of an interference, a translation of the foreign application should be submitted under 37 CFR 1.55 in reply to this action.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 14 June 2005 and 21 July 2005 are acknowledged. The submissions are in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

#### Oath/Declaration

4. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Ken** (U.S. Patent No. **6,013,084**).

Claims 1 and 8: Ken discloses an indwelling implant for embolization comprising a coil 191 that may be composed of a metal and a substantially semi-spherical rounded head portion at the distal end portion of the coil, wherein a loop 199 is provided inside said coil from said head portion of the coil toward the proximal end portion of the coil, and an axial extension controlling member 193 composed of at least one wire material which is thinner than the metal wire material forming said loop is provided inside said coil by extending the member in the coil axial direction of said coil and fixing both ends thereof directly or indirectly to the proximal end portion in the inside of the coil after the member passed through said loop (Figure 10, col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 9, lines 29-51).

Claims 2-3: Ken discloses the axial extension controlling member and loop being composed of the same metal material as the coil, such as platinum or a platinum alloy (col. 5, lines 10-23).

Art Unit: 3734

Claim 4: Ken discloses the axial extension controlling member being composed of a wire material with a diameter of 20μm or less (col. 5, lines 57-67, col. 6, lines 1-14 and col. 7, lines 1-7).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ken** (U.S. Patent No. **6,013,084**), as applied to Claim 4 above, and further in view of **Wilson** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040034363**).
- <u>Claim 5</u>: Ken discloses the claimed device except for the axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire obtained by twisting together a plurality of metal wire materials.

Wilson teaches an axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire obtained by twisting together a plurality of metal wire materials (page 4, paragraph 30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the axial extension controlling member being composed of a twisted wire, as taught by Wilson, to Ken since it was known in the art that braided or winded wires provide strength and resistance to breakage or fracture.

9. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ken** (U.S. Patent No. **6,013,084**) and **Wilson** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040034363**), as applied to Claim 5 above, and further in view of **Teoh** (U.S. Pub. No. **20040002732**).

<u>Claim 6</u>: Ken and Wilson disclose the claimed device except for the axial extension controlling member being further twisted after insertion through the loop.

Teoh teaches an axial extension controlling member being further twisted after insertion through the loop in order to keep the wire from collapsing on itself (Figures 2C-2D and page 6, paragraphs 55-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide the axial extension controlling member being further twisted, as taught by Teoh, to Ken and Wilson in order to keep the wire from collapsing on itself, and therefore to maintain its function.

<u>Claim 7</u>: Ken discloses the coil being further formed to have a secondary shape (col. 9, lines 29-51).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane Yabut whose telephone number is (571) 272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,662

Art Unit: 3734

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DY

MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

M/ Hayes